

ALABAMA DEPARTMENT OF
ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT

IN THE MATTER OF:)	
)	
Britt Demolition And Recycling, Inc.)	****Proposed****
)	ADMINISTRATIVE
Demolition of Koch Foods)	ORDER NO: 19-__-AP
Hope Hull, Montgomery County, Alabama)	
)	

FINDINGS

Pursuant to the provisions of the Alabama Environmental Management Act, Ala. Code §§ 22-22A-1 to 22- 22A-16 (2006 Rplc. Vol.), the Alabama Air Pollution Control Act, Ala. Code §§ 22-28-1 to 22-28-23 (2006 Rplc. Vol.), the Alabama Department of Environmental Management (hereinafter, the "Department" or "ADEM") Administrative Code of Regulations (hereinafter, "ADEM Admin. Code R.") promulgated pursuant thereto, and the federal Clean Air Act, 42 U.S.C. 7401 to 7671q, as amended, the Department makes the following FINDINGS:

1. Britt Demolition And Recycling, Inc. (hereinafter, "Britt"), is a contractor and was hired to perform the demolition of Koch Foods located at 109 Tyson Road in Hope Hull, Montgomery County, Alabama (hereinafter, the "Site").

2. The Department is a duly constituted department of the State of Alabama pursuant to Ala. Code §§ 22-22A-1 to 22-22A-16 (2006 Rplc. Vol.).

3. Pursuant to Ala. Code § 22-22A-4(n) (2006 Rplc. Vol.), the Department is the state air pollution control agency for the purposes of the federal Clean Air Act, 42 U.S.C. 7401 to 7671q, as amended. In addition, the Department is authorized to administer

and enforce the provisions of the Alabama Air Pollution Control Act, Ala. Code §§ 22-28-1 to 22-28-23 (2006 Rplc. Vol.).

4. The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's National Emission Standard for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP), found at 40 C.F.R. Part 61, Subpart M, is incorporated by reference in ADEM Admin. Code r. 335-3-11-.02(12).

5. 40 C.F.R. §61.141 defines Regulated asbestos-containing material (RACM) as (a) Friable asbestos material, (b) Category I nonfriable ACM that has become friable, (c) Category I nonfriable ACM that will be or has been subjected to sanding, grinding, cutting; or abrading, or (d) Category II nonfriable ACM that has a high probability of becoming or has become crumbled, pulverized, or reduced to powder by the forces expected to act on the material in the course of demolition or renovation operations regulated by this subpart.

6. 40 C.F.R. § 61.145(a)(1) states that in a facility being demolished, all the requirements of paragraphs (b) and (c) of this section apply, except as provided in paragraph (a)(3) of this section, if the combined amount of RACM is at least 260 linear feet on pipes, at least 160 square feet on other facility components, or at least 35 cubic feet off facility components where the length or area could not be measured previously.

7. 40 C.F.R. § 61.145(b)(3)(i) requires the owner or operator of a demolition or renovation activity for a subject facility to provide written Notice of Intention to Demolish or Renovate (hereinafter, "Notice") at least ten workdays before demolition, asbestos stripping or removal work, or any other activity which disturbs the asbestos.

8. 40 C.F.R. § 61.145(c)(1) requires the removal of all RACM from a facility being demolished or renovated before any activity begins that would break up, dislodge, or similarly disturb the material or preclude access to the material for subsequent removal.

9. ADEM Admin. Code r. 335-3-11-.05(1) states that any person, firm, organization, or corporation who is the owner or operator of any asbestos removal project for which notification is required pursuant to the requirements of rule 335-3-11-.02(12) shall ensure that the parties executing the asbestos removal project are certified by the Department.

10. On July 11, 2018, the Department conducted an inspection of the Site and noted that the building had been demolished without the Department having received the required notification, in violation of ADEM. Admin. Code r. 335-3-11-.02(12).

11. On July 25, 2018, the Department issued a Notice of Violation (hereinafter, "NOV") to Koch Food of Alabama, LLC, the owner of the Site.

12. On August 10, 2018, the Department received a response from Koch Foods of Alabama, LLC. In their response to the NOV they stated that Britt was hired to demolish the Site. The response also stated that no formal asbestos inspection had been performed at the Site prior to being demolished.

13. Pursuant to Ala. Code § 22-22A-5(18)c., *as amended*, in determining the amount of any penalty, the Department must give consideration to the seriousness of the violation, including any irreparable harm to the environment and any threat to the health or safety of the public; the standard of care manifested by such person; the economic benefit which delayed compliance may confer upon such person; the nature, extent and degree of success of such person's efforts to minimize or mitigate the effects of such violation upon the environment; such person's history of previous violations; and the ability of such person to pay such penalty. Any civil penalty assessed pursuant to this authority shall not exceed \$25,000.00 for each violation, provided however, that the total penalty assessed in an order issued by the Department shall not exceed \$250,000.00. Each day

such violation continues shall constitute a separate violation. In arriving at this civil penalty, the Department has considered the following:

A. SERIOUSNESS OF THE VIOLATION: Britt failed to submit the required notification to the Department and failed to perform, or have performed, the required certified asbestos inspection of the Site before it was demolished. The Department considers these violations to be serious.

B. THE STANDARD OF CARE: There appeared to be no care taken by Britt to comply with the applicable requirements of the ADEM Admin. Code.

C. ECONOMIC BENEFIT WHICH DELAYED COMPLIANCE MAY HAVE CONFERRED: Britt likely derived economic benefit by not notifying the Department and by not performing the required asbestos inspection before demolishing the Site.

D. EFFORTS TO MINIMIZE OR MITIGATE THE EFFECTS OF THE VIOLATION UPON THE ENVIRONMENT: There were no efforts by Britt to mitigate possible effects of these violations upon the environment.

E. HISTORY OF PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS: Britt has no known prior history with the Department for violating demolition/asbestos regulations.

F. THE ABILITY TO PAY: Britt has not alleged an inability to pay the civil penalty.

G. OTHER FACTORS: The Department has carefully considered the six statutory penalty factors enumerated in Ala. Code § 22-22A-5(18)c., *as amended*, as well as the need for timely and effective enforcement, and has concluded that a civil penalty herein is appropriate (*See* "Attachment A" which is hereby made a part of these Findings).

ORDER

Based upon the foregoing FINDINGS and pursuant to Ala. Code §§22-22A-5(10), 22-22A-5(12), 22-22-5(18), and 22-28-18 (2006 Rplc. Vol.), it is hereby ORDERED:

A. That, not later than forty-five days after the issuance of this Order, Britt shall pay to the Department a civil penalty in the amount of \$3,500.00 for the violations cited herein. Said penalty shall be made payable to the Alabama Department of Environmental Management by certified or cashier's check and shall be submitted to:

Office of General Counsel
Alabama Department of
Environmental Management
P.O. Box 301463
Montgomery, Alabama 36130-1463

B. That, immediately upon receipt of this Order and continuing thereafter, Britt shall ensure immediate and future compliance with 40 C.F.R. Part 61, Subpart M, as adopted in ADEM Admin. Code r. 335-3-11-.02, particularly as it applies to renovation and demolition operations.

C. That, should any provision of this Order be declared by a court of competent jurisdiction or the Environmental Management Commission to be inconsistent with Federal or State law and therefore unenforceable, the remaining provisions hereof shall remain in full force and effect.

D. Except as otherwise set forth herein, this Order is not and shall not be interpreted to be a permit or modification of an existing permit under federal, State or local law, and shall not be construed to waive or relieve Britt of their obligations to comply in the future with any permit or other written direction from the Department.

E. That, issuance of this Administrative Order does not preclude the Department from seeking criminal fines or other appropriate sanctions or relief against Britt for the violations cited herein.

F. That, failure to comply with the provisions of this Administrative Order shall constitute cause for commencement of legal action by the Department against Britt for recovery of additional civil penalties, criminal fines, or other appropriate sanctions or relief.

ORDERED and ISSUED this _____ day of _____, 2018.

Lance R. LeFleur, Director
Alabama Department of Environmental
Management
1400 Coliseum Boulevard
Montgomery, Alabama 36110-2059
(334) 271-7700

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Ronald W. Gore, do hereby certify that I have served this Proposed Administrative Order upon the person(s) listed below by sending the same, postage paid, through the United States Mail **91 7199 9991 7034 1851 7473** with instructions to forward and return receipt, to:

Mr. Tommy Britt
Britt Demolition And Recycling, Inc.
8861 Highway 31
Hanceville, Alabama 35077

DONE this the 16th day of October, 2018.



Ronald W. Gore
Chief - Air Division
Alabama Department of
Environmental Management

ATTACHMENT A

Britt Demolition And Recycling, Inc.

Demolition of Koch Foods
Hope Hull, Montgomery County

Violation*	Number of Violations*	Seriousness of Violation*	Standard of Care*	History of Previous Violations*	
Failure to notify the Department before demolishing the Site	1	\$1,000	\$500		
Failure to have Site properly inspected by an Alabama certified asbestos inspector	1	\$1,000	\$500		Total of Three Factors
TOTAL PER FACTOR		\$2,000	\$1,000		\$3,000

Adjustments to Amount of Initial Penalty	
Mitigating Factors (-)	
Ability to Pay (-)	
Other Factors (+/-)	
Total Adjustments (+/-) <i>Enter at Right</i>	\$0

Economic Benefit (+)	\$500
Amount of Initial Penalty	\$3,500
Total Adjustments (+/-)	\$0
FINAL PENALTY	\$3,500.00

Footnotes

* See the "Department's Findings" portion of the Order for a detailed description of each violation and the penalty factors.